

John R. Kasich, Governor Mary Taylor, Lt. Governor Craig W. Butler, Director

December 2, 2016

Mr. James P. Shaw III, P.E. Lucas County Sanitary Engineer 1111 South McCord Road Holland, Ohio 43528 Re: Lucas County WRRF

Inspection NPDES Lucas County

2PK00000

Dear Mr. Shaw:

On November 21, 2016, a pretreatment inspection was conducted at the Lucas County WRRF. Ms. Alexandra Slawinski and Mr. Jason Collins were present and provided information concerning the operation and effectiveness of the pretreatment program.

If you have any questions or comments concerning the enclosed inspection report, please contact me at 419-373-3053 or e-mail at Ryan.Gierhart@epa.ohio.gov.

Sincerely,

Ryan Gierhart, P.E., R.S.

Ryn Gerhat

Environmental Specialist III
Compliance and Enforcement

Ohio EPA Division of Surface Water

/jlm

Enclosure

ec: Phoebe Low, DSW, CO

Tracking

PRETREATMENT INSPECTION REPORT

Ohio Environmental Protection Agency

FACILITY NAME
Lucas County WRRF

PERMIT NUMBER

OH0034223

FACILITY NUMBER 2PD00018

INSPECTION TYPE
Compliance Inspection

INSPECTOR

FACILITY TYPE

1

DATE CONDUCTED 11/21/2016

| (S = Satisfact | ory, M = Marginal, U = Unsati | sfactory N = not evaluated) |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Pretreament | N | Inspections |
| Site/Facility Review | | Monitoring Program/permitting |
| Enforcement Program | | |

| Names(s) and Signature(s) of Inspector(s) | Agency / Office / Telephone | Date |
|--|-----------------------------|---------------|
| Ryn Sulil | Ohio EPA/DSW/419-373-3053 | 11-29-16 |
| Ryan Gierhart, P.E., R.S | | |
| | | |
| Signature of Reviewer Momas Poffluge Thomas Poffenbarger, P.E. | Ohio EPA/DSW/419-373-3000 | Date 11/30/16 |

POTW PRETREATMENT COMPLIANCE CHECKLIST

| | PCI CHECKLIST CONTENTS | |
|---|---|--|
| Cover Page and Acr | ronym List | |
| Section I | IU File Evaluation | |
| Section II | Supplemental Data Review/Interview | |
| Section III | Evaluation and Summary (Optional) | The state of the s |
| Attachment A | Pre-Inspection Checklist | |
| Attachment B | Pretreatment Program Profile | |
| Attachment C | Worksheets | |
| | WENDB/RNC Worksheet | |
| | IU Site Visit Report Form (Optional) | |
| | File Review Worksheets (Optional) | |
| Attachment D | Supporting Documentation | |
| | | |
| | 하는 것으로 하는 것으로 보는 것이 되었다. 그는 것은 것으로 함께 되었다. 그는 것은 것은 것은 것은 것은 것으로 함께 되었다. 그런 것은 것 같은 것은 | |
| | | |
| | | |
| l Authority (CA) nam | e and address | Date(s) of PCI |
| | e and address | Date(s) of PCI 11/21/2016 |
| Count WRRF | ne and address | |
| Count WRRF North River Road | e and address | |
| Count WRRF | e and address | |
| Count WRRF North River Road | | |
| Count WRRF North River Road ville, OH 43566 | e and address INSPECTOR(S) Title/Affiliation | |
| Count WRRF North River Road | INSPECTOR(S) Title/Affiliation | 11/21/2016 |
| Count WRRF North River Road ville, OH 43566 Name | INSPECTOR(S) Title/Affiliation | 11/21/2016 Telephone Numb |
| Count WRRF North River Road ville, OH 43566 Name | INSPECTOR(S) Title/Affiliation | 11/21/2016 Telephone Numb |
| Count WRRF North River Road ville, OH 43566 Name | INSPECTOR(S) Title/Affiliation | 11/21/2016 Telephone Numb |
| Count WRRF North River Road ville, OH 43566 Name | INSPECTOR(S) Title/Affiliation | 11/21/2016 Telephone Numb |
| Count WRRF North River Road ville, OH 43566 Name | INSPECTOR(S) Title/Affiliation R.S. Environmental Specialist II CA REPRESENTATIVE(S) | Telephone Numb 419-373-3053 |
| Count WRRF North River Road ville, OH 43566 Name | INSPECTOR(S) Title/Affiliation R.S. Environmental Specialist II CA REPRESENTATIVE(S) Title/Affiliation | Telephone Numb 419-373-3053 |
| Count WRRF North River Road ville, OH 43566 Name Ryan Gierhart, P.E., | INSPECTOR(S) Title/Affiliation R.S. Environmental Specialist II CAREPRESENTATIVE(S) Title/Affiliation Iski Pretreatment Coordinator | Telephone Numb 419-373-3053 Telephone Numb 419-213-8750 |
| Count WRRF North River Road ville, OH 43566 Name Ryan Gierhart, P.E., | INSPECTOR(S) Title/Affiliation R.S. Environmental Specialist II CA REPRESENTATIVE(S) Title/Affiliation Pretreatment Coordinator Assistant Superintendent | Telephone Numb 419-373-3053 |

| | ACRONYM LIST |
|-----------------|--|
| | ACKUN I WILLIOI |
| | |
| | Term |
| Acronym | |
| AO | Administrative Order |
| BMP | Best Management Practices |
| BMR | Baseline Monitoring Report |
| CA | Control Authority |
| CERCLA | Comprehensive Environmental Remediation, Compensation, and Liability Act |
| CFR | Code of Federal Regulations |
| CIU | Categorical Industrial User |
| CSO | Combined Sewer Overflow |
| CWA | Clean Water Act |
| CWF | Combined Wastestream Formula |
| DMR | Discharge Monitoring Report |
| DSS | Domestic Sewage Study |
| EP | Extraction Procedure |
| EPA | U.S. Environmental Protection Agency |
| ERP | Enforcement Response Plan |
| FDF | Fundamentally Different Factors |
| FTE | Full-Time Equivalent |
| FWA | Flow-Weighted Average |
| gpď | gallons per day |
| ίυ | Industrial User |
| IWS | Industrial Waste Survey |
| MGD | Million Gallons Per Day |
| MSW | Municipal Solid Waste |
| N/A | Not Applicable |
| ND | Not Determined |
| NOV | Notice of Violation |
| NPDES | National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System |
| O&G | Oil and Grease |
| PCI | Pretreatment Compliance Inspection |
| PCS | Permit Compliance System |
| PIRT | Pretreatment Implementation Review Task Force |
| POTW | Publicly Owned Treatment Works |
| QA/QC | Quality Assurance/Quality Control |
| RCRA | Resource Conservation and Recovery Act |
| RNC | Reportable Noncompliance |
| SIU | Significant Industrial User |
| SNC | Significant Noncompliance |
| SUO | Sewer Use Ordinance |
| TCLP | Toxicity Characteristic Leachate Procedure |
| TOMP | Toxic Organic Management Plan |
| TRC | Technical Review Criteria |
| TRE | Technical Review Evaluation |
| TRIS | Toxics Release Inventory System |
| TSDF | Treatment, Storage, and Disposal Facility |
| TTO | Total Toxic Organics |
| UST | Underground Storage Tank |
| WENDB | Water Enforcement National Data Base |
| TANTANA TANTANA | TI WARANA AMANAN A TAYANAN |

| INSTRUCTIONS: Select a representative number of SIU files to re all problems identified and any other areas of interest. Where possil evaluated. Make copies of this section to review additional files as r | ole, all CIUs (and SIUs) added si | |
|--|--|--|
| IU IDENTII | | |
| FILE I Industry name and address Moore Metal Finishing 3525 Silica Rd. Sylvania, OH 43560 | Type of industry Electroplater | |
| | | |
| IU CLASSIFICATION BY CA: □ Categorical SIU - 40 CFR 433-17,, Category(ies) Metal Finishing | Average total flow (gpd) 30,000 | Average process flow (gpd) 30,000 |
| ☐ Non-categorical SIU ☐ Non SIU | Industry visited during PCI? | ' Yes □ No □ |
| COMPLIANG □ SNC (period: 10/2016) □ Noncompliance/con EXPLANATION: | CE STATUS rected □ Noncompliance/co | ntinuing □ In compliance |
| Comments | | |
| Facility in SNC for zinc limits. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| FILE <u>II</u> Industry name and address | Type of industry | MANAGERIA (A.C. S. A. MANAGERIA) (S. S. S |
| FILE <u>II</u> Industry name and address Johns Manville Plan I 6050 River Road Waterville OH 43566 | Type of industry Glass manufactur | er SIC 3296 |
| Johns Manville Plan I 6050 River Road Waterville OH 43566 IU CLASSIFICATION BY CA: □ Categorical SIU - 40 CFR,, | i - | er SIC 3296 Average process flow (gpd) 265,000 |
| Johns Manville Plan I 6050 River Road Waterville OH 43566 IU CLASSIFICATION BY CA: | Glass manufacture Average total flow (gpd) | Average process flow (gpd) 265,000 |
| Johns Manville Plan I 6050 River Road Waterville OH 43566 IU CLASSIFICATION BY CA: □ Categorical SIU - 40 CFR, Category(ies) | Average total flow (gpd) 265,000 Industry visited during PCI | Average process flow (gpd) 265,000 Yes □ No □ |
| Johns Manville Plan I 6050 River Road Waterville OH 43566 IU CLASSIFICATION BY CA: Categorical SIU - 40 CFR, Category(ies) Non-categorical SIU | Average total flow (gpd) 265,000 Industry visited during PCI | Average process flow (gpd) 265,000 Yes No |

| IU IDENTIFICAT | ION (Continued) | THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O |
|--|--|--|
| FILE Industry name and address | Type of industry | And a second contract |
| IU CLASSIFICATION BY CA: | Average total flow (gpd) | Average process flow (gpd) |
| □ Categorical SIU - 40 CFR,, | The state of the s | Process now (gpd) |
| Category(ies) □ Non-categorical SIU □ Non SIU | Industry visited during PCI? | Yes □ No □ |
| COMPLIANC SNC (period:) □ Noncompliance/corrected EXPLANATION: Comments | | g In compliance |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| FILE Industry name and address | Type of industry | and the state of t |
| | | |
| | | |
| IU CLASSIFICATION BY CA: □ Categorical SIU - 40 CFR,, | Average total flow (gpd) | Average process flow (gpd) |
| Category(ies) □ Non-categorical SIU □ Non SIU | Industry visited during PCI? | ? Yes 🗆 No 🗆 |
| COMPLIANC SNC (period:) Noncompliance/corrected EXPLANATION: | | g □ In compliance |
| Comments | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| IU IDENTIFICAT | | |
| FILE Industry name and address | Type of industry | |

| | | • |
|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| IU CLASSIFICATION BY CA: □ Categorical SIU - 40 CFR,, | Average total flow (gpd) | Average process flow (gpd) |
| Category(ies) □ Non-categorical SIU □ Non SIU | Industry visited during PCI | Yes □ No □ |
| COMPLIANC | | |
| ☐ SNC (period:) ☐ Noncompliance/corrected EXPLANATION: | □ Noncompliance/continuing | g □ In compliance |
| Comments | | |
| | | |
| FILE Industry name and address | Type of industry | |
| IU CLASSIFICATION BY CA: □ Categorical SIU - 40 CFR,, | Average total flow (gpd) | Average process flow (gpd) |
| Category(ies) □ Non-categorical SIU □ Non SIU | Industry visited during PCI | ? Yes □ No □ |
| COMPLIANC | - | |
| ☐ SNC (period:) ☐ Noncompliance/corrected | ☐ Noncompliance/continuing | g In compliance |
| EXPLANATION: | • | - |
| Comments | | |
| General Comments | | |

SECTION I: IU FILE EVALUATION

| | Indu | stry l | lame | , | | |
|-----------------------|------------------------|--------|------|------|--|---|
| Moore Metal Finishing | Johns Manville Plant 1 | | | | INSTRUCTIONS: Evaluate the contents of IU files. Enumerate profexplain in comments section below. Use NA (not available) where nece (not determined) where there is insufficient information to evaluate implementation status. Use an "x" in the space when a problem is not not on each problem identified. Clearly identify the file that each comment indicate where a comment applies to all the files. | ssary. Use ND luate/determine oted. Comment |
| File | File | File | File | File | IU FILE REVIEW | Reg. Cite |
| | | | | HOY. | A. CA NOTIFICATION OF IU | |
| V | V | | | | 1. Notified of classification (new IU) or change in classification (existing IU) | 403.8(f)(2)(iii) |
| ٧ | V | | | | * BMR/90-day report submitted (for new IU) | 403.12(b)&(d) |
| V | V | | | | 2. Notified of applicable RCRA standards | 403.8(f)(2)(iii) |

Comments

| File | File | File | File | File | IU FILE REVIEW | Reg. Cite |
|------------|----------|------|------|------|---|--|
| | | | | | B. ISSUANCE OF IU CONTROL MECHANISM | |
| V | 1 | | | | Issuance or reissuance of control mechanism | 403.8(f)(1)(iii) |
| V. | 1 | | | | 2. Control mechanism contents | 403.8(f)(1)(iii) |
| V | V | | | | a. Statement of duration (<5 years) | |
| Ŋ | 1 | | | | b. Statement of nontransferability w/o prior notification | |
| ٧ | V | | | | c. Listing of applicable effluent limits (local, categorical standards) | and the second s |
| V | V | | | | d. Selfmonitoring requirements | |
| V | V | | | | i Identification of pollutants to be monitored | |
| V | V | | | | ii Sampling frequency | |
| V | V | | | | iii Sampling at locations/discharge points adequately defined | |
| Ų. | X | | | | iv Appropriate sample types (grab or composite) | |
| | V | | | | v Reporting requirements | |
| V , | V | | | | vi Record-keeping requirements (3 years minimum) | |
| V | V | | | | e. Statement of applicable civil and criminal penalties | |
| N/A | N/A | | | | f. Compliance schedules | |
| V | V | | | | g. Requirement to notify CA of slug loadings | |
| x | X | 7 | | | h. Requirement to notify CA of spills, bypasses, upsets, etc. | |
| x | V | | | | i. Requirement to notify CA of significant change in discharge | er i e e e e e |
| V | x | | | | j. 24-hour notification of violation/resample requirement | |

Comments:

Language for notification does not include spills or bypasses only upsets are noted.

Metal Moore permit did not have language to notify CA when there is a significant change in discharge

Johns Manville permit did not have the 24 hour notice of violation language.

The appropriate sampling type for each parameter should be designated in the Johns Manville permit

| File | File | File | File | File | IU FILE REVIEW | Reg. Cite |
|----------------------|------|------|-------------------------|--------|---|---|
| 945 (196 973 (196 | | | eus I say Ay sa Last | | C. CA APPLICATION OF IU PRETREATMENT STANDARDS | |
| √ | ٧ | | | | 1. Proper IU categorization (sig. cat., sig. non-cat, non-sig.) | 403.8(f)(1)(ii) |
| | | | | | 2. Calculation and application of categorical standards | 403.8(f)(1)(ii) |
| √ | N/A | | | | a. Proper classification by category/subcategory | |
| 1 | N/A | | | 3 35 3 | b. Proper classification as new/existing source | |
| V | N/A | | | | c. Proper application of limits for all regulated pollutants | and a particular to the same same so the second group and |
| N/A | N/A | | | | d. Proper calculation and application of production-based standards | 403.6(c) |
| N/A | N/A | | | | e. Proper calculations and application of CWF or FWA | 403.6(d)&(e) |
| $\sqrt{}$ | V | | | | 3. Application of local limits | |
| | | | 1.42.134 | | | |
| | N/A | | | | 4. Application of most stringent limits | 403.8(f)(1)(ii) |

Comments:

| File | File | File | File | File | IU FILE REVIEW | Reg. Cite |
|----------|----------|------|------|---------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| | | | | | D. CA COMPLIANCE MONITORING | |
| | | | | | Sampling | 403.8(f)(1)(iii)(D) |
| d | V | | | | 1. Sampled at frequency specified in approved | |
| 1 | √ √ | | | 3 1 7 1 1 2 2 | Documentation of sampling activities (especially chain of custody) Sampled all parameters for which local or categorical limits applied | 3745-3-03(C)(2)(f) |
| V | √ | | | 1.0 | The State of the Control of the Cont | 403.8(f)(2)(vi) 403.8(f)(2)(v) |
| V | V | | | | 1. Inspected at frequency specified in approved program | |
| V | 1 | 20.2 | | | 2. Documentation of inspection activities | 403.8(f)(2)(vi) |
| V | V | | | | 3. Evaluated need for slug discharge control plan at least every two years | 403.8(f)(2)(v) |

Comments:

| File | File | File | File | File | IU FILE REVIEW | Reg. Cite |
|----------|------|--------------------|------|------|--|--|
| | | 9/8/104 104/104 | | | E. CA ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES | |
| | | | | | 1. Response to violations | 403.8(f)(2)(vi) |
| V | N/A | | | | a. Discharge violations | and the state of t |
| N/A | N/A | | | | b. Monitoring/reporting violations | |
| N/A | N/A | | | | c. Compliance schedule violations | |
| | | | | | 2. Proper calculation of SNC | 403.8(f)(2)(vii) |
| V | N/A | | | | a. Chronic | |
| N/A | N/A | | | | b. TRC | |
| N/A | N/A | | | | c. Pass-through/interference caused by spill or slug discharge | |
| N/A | N/A | | | | d. Reporting requirements | |
| V | N/A | | V. | | 3. Publication for SNC | 403.8(f)(2)(vii) |
| V | N/A | | | | 4. Adherence to approved ERP | 403.8(f)(5) |
| X | N/A | | | | a. Proper response to violations | |
| X | N/A | | | | b. Escalation of enforcement | |

Comments:

A formal notice of violation letter was not sent to the facility. A template for a notice of violation is located in the ERP but was not used. Only a one-page document was given to the facility indicating what parameter they violated.

| File | File | File | File | File | IU FILE REVIEW | Reg. Cite |
|----------|----------|------|------|--------|---|-------------------|
| | | | | | F. SELF-MONITORING AND REPORTING | |
| V | V | | | | 1. Sampled at frequency specified in control mechanism/regulation | 403.12(e)&(h) |
| V | V | | | | 2. TTO Requirements met | |
| V | V | | | | a. TOMP submitted and updated (if applicable) | |
| J. | 1 | | | | b. TTO sample results or certification statement submitted as required | |
| V | √ | | | | 3. Timely self-monitoring reports in accordance with control mechanism | 403.12(e)&(h) |
| V | √ | | | | 4. Reported for all required pollutants | 403.12(g)(1)&(h) |
| <u>٧</u> | V | | | | 5. Signatory/certification of reports in accordance with OAC 3745-3-06 (F) | OAC 3745-3-06 (F) |
| N/A | N/A | | | | 6. Met compliance schedule milestones by required dates | 403.12(c) |
| N/A | N/A | | | | 7. Immediate notification of slug load discharge or accidental spill to sewer | OAC 3745-3-05 |
| X | N/A | | | Alert. | 8. Notified CA within 24 hours of becoming aware of discharge violations | 403.12(g)(2) |
| V | N/A | | | | 9. Resampled/reported within 30 days of knowledge of violation | 403.12(g)(2) |
| N/A | N/A | | | | 10. Submission/implementation of slug discharge control plan | 403,8(f)(2)(v) |
| N/A | N/A | | | | 11. Notified CA of significant changes in operation or discharge | 403.12(j) |

Comments:

Did not observe a response in the file from Moore finishing in regard to their violations.

| File | File | File | File | File | IU FILE REVIEW | Reg. Cite |
|------|------------------|------|--------------------|------------|----------------|--------------|
| | | | | | G. OTHER | |
| er s | i produkanjajeni | | el consegn | in det ver | | |
| | | | 10 m ja 11 m ja | 5,000,000 | | |
| | 12 Vij | | | 3 (2 . J.) | | |
| | | | | | | |
| omn | nents: | | <u> </u> | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

| SECTION I COMPLETED BY: | Ryan Gierhart | DATE: | 11/29/2016 |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|-------|--------------|
| TITLE: | Environmental Specialist III | | 419-373-3053 |

| INSTRUCTIONS: Complete this section during the onsite visit based on based on CA activities s | ince the last PCI or | audit. Attach |
|---|----------------------|------------------|
| documentation where appropriate. Specific data may be required in some cases. A. CA PRETREATMENT PROGRAM MODIFICATION [403.18] | | |
| 1. Have you made any changes to the approved program since the last inspection? (Local limits, | Yes | No |
| ERP, SUO, control mechanisms, SIU list, etc.) | | х |
| If yes, discuss. | | |
| | | |
| 2. Have you identified any needed changes? If yes, describe. | Yes | No x |
| B. IU CHARACTERIZATION [403.8(d)(2)(i)&(iii)] | | |
| How do you identify and characterize new IUs? (is IWS used?) | | |
| Onsite inspection and evaluation of sources of wastewater. Evaluate on a case by case basis as no system. | tified of new busine | ess entering the |
| 2. How and when do you identify changes in wastewater discharges at existing IUs | | |
| (especially to determine if they need to be classified as a SIUs) | | |
| During Annual inspections or notifications by IUs as required by discharge permits | | |
| | | |
| | | • |
| | | |
| | | |

| C. CONTROL MECHANISM EVALUATION [403.8(f)(1)(iii)] | | |
|---|----|------|
| 1. How many SIUs are not covered by an existing, unexpired permit or other individual | 0 | % |
| control mechanism? [WENB~NOCM][RNC~I] | | |
| If any, explain. | | |
| | | ļ |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | : |
| | | |
| | | |
| 2. a. How many control mechanisms were allowed to expire prior to reissuance? | | 0 |
| If any explain. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| b. How many control mechanisms were not issued within 180 days of the expiration date of the | | 0 |
| previous control mechanism? [RNC~II] | | |
| If any, explain. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| c. Do you use an up-to-date IWS or recent discharge application forms prior to permit reissuance? | Ye | s No |
| | х | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Send form prior to renewing permits. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | : |
| | | : |
| | | |
| | | |

| D. APPLICATION OF PRETREATMENT STANDARDS AND REQUIREMENTS [40 | 3. 8 (f)(1)(iii)] | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 1. a. How and when do you evaluate SIUs for the need to develop slug control discharge plans? | | | | | | |
| (check on CA's definition of slug discharge) | | | | | | |
| If any, explain. | | | | | | |
| During inspections and evaluate new industries. The slug discharge definition is different in the in the ERP | e sewer use ordina | ince than what is | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| b. How many SIUs were evaluated in the past two years? | | 8 | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 2. a. Describe any wastes hauled to the POTW. No hauled waste | | | | | | |
| 2. a. Describe any wastes hadred to the FOT w. No name waste | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| b. If any Ius have their wastewater hauled to the POTW, how do you ensure all applicable | | | | | | |
| standards (local and categorical) are met? N/A | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| c. List IUs that haul their wastewater to the POTW. N/A | | | | | | |
| of 200 for vital and washing to the 1 of 1 in 1 in 1 | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| E. COMPLIANCE MONITORING 1. In the past 12 months, how many, and what percentage of, SIUs were the following: [403.8(f)(: | MANUAL MANUAL MA | וויסאפוואור | | | | |
| (Define the 12 month period October 2015 to October 2016) | 5)(4)][M E14DD-140 | | | | | |
| a. Not sampled or not inspected at least once [WENB-NOIN] | 0 | % | | | | |
| b. Not sampled at least once | 0 | % | | | | |
| c. Not inspected at least once (all parameters)? | 0 | % | | | | |
| If any, explain. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 2. How many SIUs are in SNC with self-monitoring requirements and were not inspected and/or | | 0 | | | | |
| sampled (in the four most recent full quarters)? [WENB~SNIN] | | | | | | |
| If any, explain. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

SECTION II: SUPPLEMENTAL DATA REVIEW/INTERVIEW F. ENFORCEMENT 1. Which of the following enforcement actions did you use during the past year? Yes No a. Notice or letter of violation \mathbf{x} b. Administrative Order Х c. Administrative fine d. Show cause hearing X Х e. Compliance schedule f. Permit revocation Х g. Civil suits x h. Criminal suits Х i. Termination of service j. Other (specify) Explain if appropriate: Published SNC facility in Toledo Blade 2. Did the treatment plant experience any following during the past year? Yes No Explain a. Interference \mathbf{X} X b. Pass through X c. Fire or explosions (flashpoint, etc.) $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ d. Corrosive structural damage e. Flow obstructions \mathbf{X} X f. Excessive flow rates X g. Excessive pollutant concentrations X h. Heat problems i. Interference due to O & G X X j. Toxic fumes $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ k. Illicit dumping of hauled wastes X 1. Worker health and safety concerns $\overline{\mathbf{x}}$ m. Other (specify) If yes, how did you respond?

| F. ENFORCEMENT (continued) | |
|--|----------|
| A. THAT VANCLATERS A COMMISSION | Yes No |
| 3. Were you made aware of any hazardous waste discharges to the POTW? [403.12 (j)&(p)] | X |
| | <u> </u> |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| G. GENERAL OBSERVATIONS/INFORMATIONENFORCEMENT | |
| | Yes No |
| Have you had any problems (general or specific) implementing your approved program? | X |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| A LIVI. 1 C | |
| Additional Comments/Observations/Information: | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

| SECTION I COMPLETED BY: | Ryan Gierhart | DATE: | 11 00 0016 |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|------------|--------------|
| TITLE: | Environmental Specialist III | TELEPHONE: | 419-373-3053 |

SECTION III: EVALUATION AND SUMMARY

| Description | Recommended Action | Required Action |
|--|-----------------------|--------------------|
| A. CA PRETREATMENT PROGRAM MODIFICATION | | |
| Status of program modifications (Ref. 403.18 /Checklist II.A.1) | | |
| No current modifications | L | |
| | | |
| | | |
| B. LEGAL AUTHORITY | | |
| Minimum legal authority requirements (Ref. 403.8(f)(1)/Checklist II.B.2) | x | |
| Ensure definition of slug discharge match sewer use ordinance | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Adequate multi jurisdictional agreements (Ref. 403.8(f)(1)/Checklist II.B.1) | | |
| N/A | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| C. | IU CHARACTERIZATION | | |
|------|--|--|---|
| •] | Identify and categorize IUs (Ref. 403.8(f)(2)(ii)/Checklist II.C.2) | | |
| No : | action required. | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| D. | CONTROL MECHANISM | | |
| Che | Issuance of individual control mechanisms to all SIUs (Ref. 403.8(f)(1)(iii)/ecklist II.D.1) | And the second s | |
| No | action required | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | 1 | |
| | Adequate control mechanisms (Ref. 403.8(f)(1)(iii)/Checklist I.A.4) | | |
| No | action required | L | J |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| Description | Recommended Action | Required Action |
|--|------------------------|--|
| Adequate control of trucked, railed, and dedicated pipe wastes (Ref. 403.5(b)(8)/ Checklist II.D.3&4) | | |
| N/A No wastes are hauled to the treatment system. | | |
| | | |
| · | | |
| | | |
| E. APPLICATION OF PRETREATMENT STANDARDS AND REQUIREMENTS | | |
| Appropriately categorize, notify, and apply all applicable pretreatment standards (Ref. 403.8(f)(1)(ii)&(iii); 403.5 /Checklist I.A) | | |
| No action required. Glass manufacturing is listed as categorical but it is for new sour established in 40 C.FR. 426.16 | ces only and no pre | treatment limits are |
| | | |
| | | |
| Basis and adequacy of local limits (Ref. 403.8(f)(4);122.21(j)/Checklist II.E.2&3) | | |
| No action required at this time. The NPDES permit compliance schedule has a local | limit justification sc | hedule. |
| F. COMPLIANCE MONITORING | | West Control of the C |
| • Adequate sampling and inspection frequency (Ref. 403.8(f)(2)(ii)&(v)/Checklist I.B.1&2, II.F.1) | | |
| No action required. | | |
| | | |

| Description | Recommended Action | Required Action |
|--|-----------------------|--|
| | | |
| Adequate inspections (Ref. 403.8(f)(2)(v)&(vi)/Checklist I.B.1; II.F.1) | | es mente a commo com montro de la composito de |
| | <u></u> | |
| No action required. | | |
| Adequate sampling protocols and analysis (Ref. 403.8(f)(2)(vi)/Checklist I.B.2;II.F.2,3&4) | | |
| No action required. | | |
| | | |
| Adequate IU self-monitoring (Ref. 403.8(f)(2)(iv)/Checklist I.C.1.b;I.F) | | |
| No action required. | | 11 |
| | | |
| Notification of changed and hazardous waste discharges (Ref. 403.12(j)&(p)/ Checklist I.C.1.b; II.G.1.b) | | |
| No action required. | | |
| | | |

| Description | Recommended Action | Required Action |
|--|--|--------------------|
| | | |
| Evaluate the need for SIUs to develop slug discharge control plans (Ref. 403.8(f)(2)(v)/Checklist I.B.2.d; II.F.8) | | |
| No action required. | L | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Monitor to demonstrate continued compliance and resampling after violation(s) (Ref. 403.12(g)(1)&(2);403.8(f)(2)(vi)/Checklist I.A.4.d, C.1.b) | | |
| No action required. | | |
| | | |
| | ah Paka wasa ka kasaya asa ayayasa ayaya | |
| G. ENFORCEMENT | | |
| Appropriate application of "significant noncompliance" definition (Ref. 403.8(f)(2)(vii) /Checklist I.C.2; II.G.1; Attach B.I.1) | | |
| No action required. | | 1 |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| Description | Recommended Action | Required Action |
|--|-----------------------|--------------------|
| Develop and implement an ERP (Ref. 403.8(f)(5)I.C.3;/Checklist II.G.2) | x | |
| Follow template in ERP for notice of violations. | | |
| | | |
| | | Control |
| | I | |
| Annually publish a list of IUs in SNC (Ref. 403.8(f)(2)(vii)/Checklist I.C.6; II.G.4) | | |
| No action required. | | |
| | | |
| | | · |
| • Effective enforcement (Ref. 403.8(f)(1)(iv)(A)/Checklist I.C.1.c, 4&5;II.G.2.c&d, 5&6) | | |
| No action required. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| Description | Recommended Action | Required Action |
|---|-----------------------|--------------------|
| H. DATA MANAGEMENT/PUBLIC PARTICIPATION | | |
| Effective data management/public participation (Ref. 403.5(c)(3)403.12(o); 403.14/Checklist II.H) | | |
| No action required. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| L RESOURCES | | |
| Adequate resources (Ref. 403.8(f)(3)/Checklist II.I) | | |
| No action required. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| Description | Recommended Action | Required Action |
|--|-----------------------|--------------------|
| J. ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTIVENESS/POLLUTION PREVENTION | | |
| Understanding of pollutants from all sources (Checklist II.J.1&2) | | |
| No action required. | | |
| | | |
| · | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Documentation of environmental improvements/effectiveness (Checklist II.J.1) | | |
| No action required. | | |
| | | |
| | | : |
| | | |
| Integration of pollution prevention (Checklist II.J.3,4&5) | | |
| No action required. | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

| Description | Recommended Action | Required Action |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| K. ADDITIONAL EVALUATIONS/INFORMATION | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | · | |

| SECTION III COMPLETED BY: | Ryan Gierhart | DATE: | 11-29-2016 |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|------------|--------------|
| TITLE: | Environmental Specialist III | TELEPHONE: | 419-373-3053 |

WENDB AND RNC WORKSHEET

| FACILITY INFORMATION | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|----------------------|-----------|-----------|----------------------------|
| Name Lucas County Wastewater Recovery Facility | Date of Inspection 11-21-2016 | | | | |
| OH Number OH0034223 | NPDES Number 2PK00000*LD | | | | |
| 1. WENDB DATA ENTRY WORKSHEET | | | | | |
| INSTRUCTIONS: Enter the data provided by the specifi | ic checklist ques | tions that are refer | renced. | | COLUMN TO COLUMN TRANSPORT |
| | | | Checklist | Reference | PCS |
| | - | Data | PCI | AUDIT | Code |
| Number of SIUs | | 8 | Annual | Annual | SIUS |
| Number of CIUs | | 6 | Annual | Annual | CIUS |
| Number of SIUs without Control Mechanisms | | 0 | II.C.1 | II.D.1.a | NOCM |
| Number of SIUs not inspected or sampled | | 0 | II.F.I.a | II.F.1.a | NOIN |
| Number of SIUs in SNC with standards or reporting | | 0 | | | PSNC |
| Number of SIUs in SNC with self-monitoring | | 0 | | | MSNC |
| Number of SIUs in SNC with self-monitoring and not insampled | ^ | 0 | II.E.2 | II.F.1.d | SNIN |
| Date NPDES Permit modified to include pretreatment re (Audit) | quirements | ## | | | |
| Technical Evaluation of Local Limits (Y/N) (Audit) | | N/A | | | |
| Adoption of technically-based limits (Y/N) (Audit) | | N/A | | | |

| II. RNC/SNC WORKSHEET | | | |
|--|------------------|----------|---|
| INSTRUCTIONS: Place a check in the appropriate box on the left if the CA is found to | be in RNC or SNC | | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, |
| RNC | RNC Level | Refe | rence |
| | Level | PCI | Audit |
| Failure to enforce against pass through and/or interference | I | | |
| - | | | |
| Failure to submit required reports within 30 days | I | | *************************************** |
| <u>. </u> | | | |
| Failure to meet compliance schedule milestone date within 90 days | Ĭ | | |
| ~ | | | |
| Failure to issue/reissue control mechanisms to 90% of SIUs within 6 months | II | II.C.2.b | II.D.1.b |
| _ | | | |
| Failure to inspect or sample 80% of SIUs within the last 12 months | II | II.E.1 | II.F.1 |
| - | | | |
| Failure to enforce pretreatment standards and reporting requirements | П | | I.C.1 |
| - | | | |
| Other (specify) | II | | |
| SNC | | | 1 |
| - Control Authority in SNC for violation of any Level I criterion | | | ······································ |
| - Control Authority in SNC for violation of two or more Level II criterion | | | |
| - Contact Authority in SIAC for violation of two of more Level II criterion | | | |